

# Local Government & Social Care OMBUDSMAN

22 July 2020

*By email*

Mr Vincent  
Chief Executive  
Daventry District Council

Dear Mr Vincent

## **Annual Review letter 2020**

I write to you with our annual summary of statistics on the decisions made by the Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman about your authority for the year ending 31 March 2020. Given the exceptional pressures under which local authorities have been working over recent months, I thought carefully about whether it was still appropriate to send you this annual update. However, now, more than ever, I believe that it is essential that the public experience of local services is at the heart of our thinking. So, I hope that this feedback, which provides unique insight into the lived experience of your Council's services, will be useful as you continue to deal with the current situation and plan for the future.

## **Complaint statistics**

This year, we continue to place our focus on the outcomes of complaints and what can be learned from them. We want to provide you with the most insightful information we can and have made several changes over recent years to improve the data we capture and report. We focus our statistics on these three key areas:

**Complaints upheld** - We uphold complaints when we find some form of fault in an authority's actions, including where the authority accepted fault before we investigated. A focus on how often things go wrong, rather than simple volumes of complaints provides a clearer indicator of performance.

**Compliance with recommendations** - We recommend ways for authorities to put things right when faults have caused injustice. Our recommendations try to put people back in the position they were before the fault and we monitor authorities to ensure they comply with our recommendations. Failure to comply with our recommendations is rare. An authority with a compliance rate below 100% should scrutinise those complaints where it failed to comply and identify any learning.

**Satisfactory remedies provided by the authority** - We want to encourage the early resolution of complaints and to credit authorities that have a positive and open approach to resolving complaints. We recognise cases where an authority has taken steps to put things right before the complaint came to us. The authority upheld the complaint and we agreed with how it offered to put things right.

Finally, we compare the three key annual statistics for your authority with similar types of authorities to work out an average level of performance. We do this for County Councils, District Councils, Metropolitan Boroughs, Unitary Councils, and London Boroughs.

This data will be uploaded to our interactive map, [Your council's performance](#), along with a copy of this letter on 29 July 2020, and our Review of Local Government Complaints. For further information on how to interpret our statistics, please visit our [website](#).

During the year we issued one public report about your Council which found fault in how it responded to reports of persistent problem noise from an industrial estate close to the complainant's home. We found the Council had not taken account of all relevant information it had gathered about the nature and extent of the noise. There was also significant delay in its investigation, which the Council closed without carrying out an assessment of noise in line with what it had promised. It had also introduced irrelevant considerations when deciding to close its investigation claiming, without basis, the complainant had an over sensitivity to noise.

It was disappointing to issue this report as we had persistently tried to engage the Council in remedying the complaint in the months before. However, I was pleased that when the report went to full Council, members voted to accept our recommendations. The Council will agree with the complainant the terms of reference of an independent noise consultant who will re-investigate the problem noise reported. The Council has also apologised and reserved £1800, which may be put towards future noise mitigation measures in recognition of the injustice caused.

It is pleasing to see that your Council has complied with all recommendations in a timely manner. However, concern has been registered by my investigators that your Council has, on occasion, taken an obstructive and combative approach where there have been findings of fault and recommendations made. I would ask the Council to reflect on the way it engages with my office, with a view to providing its views constructively and reducing any avoidable delay to the process.

### **Resources to help you get it right**

There are a range of resources available that can support you to place the learning from complaints, about your authority and others, at the heart of your system of corporate governance. [Your council's performance](#) launched last year and puts our data and information about councils in one place. Again, the emphasis is on learning, not numbers. You can find the decisions we have made, public reports we have issued, and the service improvements your Council has agreed to make as a result of our investigations, as well as previous annual review letters.

I would encourage you to share the tool with colleagues and elected members; the information can provide valuable insights into service areas, early warning signs of problems and is a key source of information for governance, audit, risk and scrutiny functions.

Earlier this year, we held our link officer seminars in London, Bristol, Leeds and Birmingham. Attended by 178 delegates from 143 local authorities, we focused on maximising the impact of complaints, making sure the right person is involved with complaints at the right time, and how to overcome common challenges.


We have a well-established and successful training programme supporting local authorities and independent care providers to help improve local complaint handling. During the year, we delivered 118 courses, training more than 1,400 people. This is 47 more courses than we delivered last year and included more training to adult social care providers than ever before. To find out more visit [www.lgo.org.uk/training](http://www.lgo.org.uk/training).

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M King', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Michael King  
Local Government and Social Care Ombudsman  
Chair, Commission for Local Administration in England

### Complaints upheld




**50%** of complaints we investigated were upheld.

This compares to an average of **45%** in similar authorities.

**5**  
upheld decisions

Statistics are based on a total of 10 detailed investigations for the period between 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020

### Compliance with Ombudsman recommendations




In **100%** of cases we were satisfied the authority had successfully implemented our recommendations.

This compares to an average of **99%** in similar authorities.

Statistics are based on a total of 2 compliance outcomes for the period between 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020

- Failure to comply with our recommendations is rare. An authority with a compliance rate below 100% should scrutinise those complaints where it failed to comply and identify any learning.

### Satisfactory remedies provided by the authority



In **20%** of upheld cases we found the authority had provided a satisfactory remedy before the complaint reached the Ombudsman.

This compares to an average of **20%** in similar authorities.

**1**  
satisfactory remedy decision

Statistics are based on a total of 10 detailed investigations for the period between 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020